





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/772,526	01/29/2001	Keith Myers	3FTHERA.007A	9761
20995	7590 10/02/2002			
	MARTENS OLSON &	EXAMINER		
2040 MAIN FOURTEEN	TH FLOOR	BARRETT, THOMAS C		
IRVINE, CA	. 92614		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3738	10
		DATE MAILED: 10/02/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			$\sim$			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	11			
Office Action Commence	09/772,526	MYERS ET AL.	#10			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Thomas C. Barrett	3738				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rr  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	1. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be t eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror ute, cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed  ys will be considered timely in the mailing date of this col ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _						
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice under			e merits is			
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-48 is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdown	rawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) <u>1-48</u> are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement					
Application Papers	or election requirement.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ acc	cepted or b) objected to by the Exa	aminer.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disappr	oved by the Examine	er.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(	a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority docume</li> </ol>	ents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in Applica	tion No				
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International E</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a limit</li> </ul>	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		Stage			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	·		application).			
a) The translation of the foreign language parts) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	• •					
Attachment(s)	, , , 33					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s Patent Application (PTC				

Application/Control Number: 09/772,526

Art Unit: 3738

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species I: Figs. 1-3

Species II: Figs. 4-7

Species III: Figs. 8, 8A and 9 ·

Species IV: Fig. 10

Species V: Figs. 11, 13A-C, and 15

Species VI: Figs. 12, 14A-D, and 15

Species VII: Fig. 16

Species VIII: Figs. 17, 18A-C and 19

Species IX: Figs. 20, 21A-B and 22-23

Species X: Figs. 24, and 25A-B

Species XI: Figs. 26-27

Species XII: Figs. 28-41

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, none are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims

Application/Control Number: 09/772,526

Art Unit: 3738

readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to Glen L. Nuttall on September 30, 2002 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one

Application/Control Number: 09/772,526

Art Unit: 3738

or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by

a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Thomas C. Barrett whose telephone number is (703)

308-8295. The examiner can normally be reached Tuesday-Friday between 9:00 A.M.

and 6:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on (703) 308-2111. The fax phone

numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)

305-3580 for regular communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308

0850.

Thomas Barrett

September 30, 2002

David J. Isabella Primar\Examiner

Page 4